



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,474	01/18/2002	Sundeep Chandhoke	5150-54200	5150-54200 2749	
35690	7590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
	NS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KO	PILLAI, NAMITHA			
700 LAVACA, SUITE 800 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/051,474	CHANDHOKE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Namitha Pillai	2173	

	Namilia Filiai	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri jinally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further contour (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between the properties of the contour contours.</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>	:		•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.5-7, 10-23, 25, 27-4	rided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected. 1-51.3 77.6 (77.6 ) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	. 5	•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper-	40(s).	
13.	RAYI	VIOND J. BAYI	ERE
		MARY EXAMIN	
	Al	<b>RT UNIT 2173</b>	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The current rejection is under 35 U. S. C. 103 where a combination of Compumotor and EP Publication No. 0510514 A1 (Oka et al.), is used for rejecting the present claims. Applicant's arguments rely on attacking the reference Compumotor individually against claims whose rejections are based on a combination of Compumotor and Oka. It has been clearly stated that Compumotor teaches the generation of a graphical program but that the automatic generation involving automatically including a plurality of nodes and automatically generating the connections between the nodes is not taught in Compumotor but is an obvious teaching based on the disclosure in Oka. Oka does teach the automatic generation of a graphical outline that does represent a program, where one skilled in the art at the time of the invention based on this automatic generation teaching of a graphical outline representing a program would have been provided with an obvious motivation to automatically generate the nodes and connections between the nodes of Compumotor.